1 2	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
3	DEC - 9,2009
4	
5	BY OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 69-2824m
12	Plaintiff,)
13	vs. ORDER OF DETENTION
14	Ricque Ennique ALAS Defendant.
15)
16	Defendant. /
17	
18	

- A. () On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:
 - () a crime of violence.

- 2. () an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.
- 3. () a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of ten or more years.
- 4. () a felony where defendant convicted of two or more prior offenses described above.

1	B. () On motion () by the Government/ () on Court's own
2	motion, in a case allegedly involving:
3	(Y On the further allegation by the Government of:
4	1. (a serious risk defendant will flee.
5	2. () a serious risk defendant will:
6	a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
7	b. () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective
8	witness or juror, or attempt to do so.
9	C. The Government () is/ (ψ is not entitled to a rebuttable
10	presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will
11	reasonably assure defendant's appearance as required and the
12	safety or any person or the community.
13	
14	
15	The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions
16	will reasonably assure:
17	1. (\checkmark the appearance of defendant as required.
18	() and/of
19	2. (\cancel{x} the safety of any person or the community.
20	
21	III
22	The Court has considered:
23	A. the nature and circumstances of the offenses;
24	B. the weight of evidence against the defendant;
25	C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and
26	D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or
27	the community.
28	

1	IV
2	The Court has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing
3	and the arguments and/or statements of counsel.
4	
5	v .
6	The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:
7	A. (of Flight risk: Mtv/e of the changes; no interview -
8	A. (or Flight risk: Mtvle of the changes; no interview - no vesous ces for bind; multiple aliases + DOBs
9	
10	
11	B. (Danger: Curnal lustory
12	
13	
14	
15	C. (See also Pretrial Services Report/recommendation.
16	D. () Defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to
17	the contrary the presumption provided by statute.
18	
19	VI
20	A. The Court finds that a serious risk exists that defendant
21	will:
22	1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
23	2. () threaten, injure or intimidate a witness/juror.
24	3. () attempt to threaten, injure or intimidate a
25	witness/ juror.
26	B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:
27	
28	

:	() <u>See also</u> Pretrial Services Report/recommendation.
<u>-</u>	
3	VI.
4	A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant be detained prior to
5	
6	B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant be committed to the
7	
8	facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons
9	awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending
10	appeal.
11	C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant be afforded reasonable
12	opportunity for private consultation with counsel.
13	D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the
14	United States or on request of any attorney for the Government,
15	the person in charge of the corrections facility in which
16	defendant is confined deliver defendant to a United States
17	marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a
18	court proceeding.
19	
20	Dated: 12/9/09
21	
22	Kalph Zantoln
23	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24	
25	
26	and the state of t
27	
28	